STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

OCT 14 2009

DEPT OF TRUITANCE

# 1

# 2

# 3 4

# 5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

# STATE OF ARIZONA





GALLEGOS, JOVANNA REYNOSO,

In the Matter of:

(License number 920337)

Respondent.

No. 09A-036-INS

**ORDER** 

On October 6, 2009, the Office of Administrative Hearings, through Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") Lewis D. Kowal, issued an Administrative Law Judge Decision ("Recommended Decision"), received by the Director of the Department of Insurance ("Director") on October 9, 2009, a copy of which is attached and incorporated by this reference. The Director of the Department of Insurance has reviewed the Recommended Decision and enters the following Order:

- 1. The Director adopts the Recommended Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of the Recommended Decision.
- 2. The Director revokes Respondent's Arizona producer's license, effective immediately.

### **NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS**

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") § 41-1092.09, Respondent may request a rehearing with respect to this order by filling a written motion with the Director of the Department of Insurance within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth the basis for relief under A.A.C. R20-6-114(B). Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09, it is not necessary to request a rehearing before filing an appeal to Superior Court.

Respondent may appeal the final decision of the Director to the Superior Court of Maricopa County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-166. A party filing an appeal

1	must notify the Office of Administrative Hearings of the appeal within ten days after filing
2	the complaint commencing the appeal, pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-904(B).
3	DATED this 14th day of October, 2009.
4	att la
5	CHRISTINA URIAS, Director
6	Arizona Department of Insurance
7	
8	COPY of the foregoing mailed this late day of october, 2009 to:
9	Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 West Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
11	Mary Butterfield, Assistant Director
12	Mary Kosinski, Exec. Asst. for Regulatory Affairs Catherine O'Neil, Consumer Legal Affairs Officer Steven Fromholtz, Licensing Administrator
13 14	Arizona Department of Insurance 2910 North 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, Arizona 85018
15	Jovanna Reynoso Gallegos
16	c/o Wells Fargo 7720 E. Highway 69 Prescott Valley, Arizona 86314
17	Respondent
18	Jovanna Reynoso Gallegos 886 S. Granite Street
19	Prescott, Arizona 86303 Respondent
20	i Nespondent
21	
22 (	Curvey Button
23	, -( <i>y</i> ··-···
24	
25	

### IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

In the Matter of:

Jovanna Reynoso Gallegos (License number 920337)

Respondent.

No. 09A-036-INS-res

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DECISION



HEARING: September 21, 2009

<u>APPEARANCES</u>: Mary Kosinski, Special Counsel for the Arizona Department of Insurance; Jovanna Reynoso Gallegos did not appear at the hearing.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lewis D. Kowal

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. At all times material to this matter, Jovanna Reynoso Gallegos ("Respondent") was and currently is licensed by the Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department") as a credit producer.
- 2. On May 30, 2008, the Department issued Respondent a credit producer license, license number 920337 ("License"), which expires on February 29, 2012.
- 3. Steven Fromholtz ("Mr. Fromholtz"), Producer Licensing Administrator of the Licensing Section of the Department, testified that when an application for a credit producer's license is received and processed, it is forwarded to the Arizona Department of Public Safety ("DPS") for processing, which includes processing by the Federal Bureau of Investigation for a criminal history background check to be conducted.
- 4. On July 11, 2008, the Department issued a letter to Respondent that was mailed to her address of record, informing her that her fingerprint card could not be processed and was returned by DPS as illegible. In that letter, the Department requested that

Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 West Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-9826

Respondent submit a replacement set of fingerprints and enclosed a blank Illegible Replacement Fingerprint Form. The Department provided a deadline of August 8, 2008 for the return of the completed replacement fingerprint form. Mr. Fromholtz testified that when an application does not contain a mailing address, as in the instant matter, the Department uses the business address supplied by the applicant.

- 5. Because the Department did not receive the requested replacement fingerprint form from Respondent as requested, the Department sent another letter to Respondent on December 23, 2008 at Respondent's residential address giving Respondent a deadline of January 14, 2009 to file either an Illegible Replacement Fingerprint Form with the Department or a Voluntary Surrender of License Form with the Department. The Department indicated that the failure to respond to the letter would result in the initiation of disciplinary action being taken against the License.
- 6. Mr. Fromholtz testified that the Department has not received any change of address notification from Respondent and that the above-mentioned letters were sent to the mailing addresses of record the Department had for Respondent.
- 7. Mr. Fromholtz testified that, to date, the Respondent has not responded to the above-mentioned letters and has not submitted to the Department a new set of fingerprints.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. This matter is a disciplinary proceeding wherein the Department must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Respondent violated the State's Insurance Laws. See A.AC. R2-19-119.
- 2. During the application process, the Director of the Department required Respondent to submit a full set of fingerprints and Respondent's illegible fingerprint submission did not satisfy that requirement. See A.R.S. § 20-285(F)(2).
- 3. Respondent's conduct, as set forth above, constitutes a violation of A.R.S. § 20-295(A)(1), by having failed to provide complete information in the license application.
- 4. Respondent's conduct, as set forth above, constitutes the violation of any provision of A.R.S., Title 20, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 20-295(A)(2).
- 5. Grounds exist for the Director of the Department to suspend, revoke, or refuse to renew the License pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-295(A).

### <u>ORDER</u>

Based upon the above, the License shall be revoked on the effective date of the Order entered in this matter.

Done this day, October 6, 2009.

Lewis D. Kowal

Administrative Law Judge

is D. Kousel

Original transmitted by mail this 1 day of October, 2009, to:

Christina Urias, Director Department of Insurance 2910 North 44th Street, Ste. 210 Phoenix, AZ 85018

By Chintisheed